Law Offices Suncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Zembroke, Z.O.

WALLACE L. DUNCAN EDWARD WEINBERG (1918-1995) JAMES D. PEMBROKE ROBERT WEINBERG JEFFREY C. GENZER THOMAS L. RUDEBUSCH MICHAEL R. POSTAR TANJA M. SHONKWILER ELI D. EILBOTT* LISA S. GAST PETER J. SCANLON KATHLEEN L. MAZURE DEREK A. DYSON BHAVEETA K. MODY KRISTEN CONNOLLY McCULLOUGH KEVIN C. FRANK SETH T. LUCIA** JOSHUA E. ADRIAN**

SUITE 800 1615 M STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

> (202) 467-6370 FAX (202) 467-6379 www.dwgp.com

California Offices SUITE 1410, 915 L STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 (916) 498-0121 SEAN M. NEAL

SUITE 501, 100 PARK CENTER PLAZA SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113 (408) 288-2080 BARRY F. McCARTHY⁺ C. SUSIE BERLIN⁺

Northeast Regional Office 2700 BELLEVUE AVENUE SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13219 (315) 471-1318 THOMAS J. LYNCH⁺

* REGISTERED TO PRACTICE BEFORE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

** NOT ADMITTED IN DC

*OF COUNSEL

RICHMOND F. ALLAN*
TERRY E. SINGER*
FREDERICK H. HOOVER, JR. *
WILLIAM R. MAPES, JR. *
L. ELISE DIETERICH*

February 28, 2007

The Honorable Magalie R. Salas, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: Maine Public Utilities Commission, v. ISO New England, Inc.

Docket No. EL07-38-000

Dear Secretary Salas:

On February 27, 2007, the Maine Public Utilities Commission ("MPUC") filed a complaint against ISO New England, Inc. in the above-referenced docket. We have discovered an omission in the MPUC's request for relief in that complaint. Accordingly, we are attaching a corrected page 25, in both clean and red-lined form, to reflect the addition of a specific request for a refund effective date of the date of the filing of the complaint.

We apologize for any inconvenience caused by this omission. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lisa S. Gast

Maine Public Utilities Commission, v. ISO New England, Inc. Docket No. EL07-38-000

CORRECTED PAGE 25 OF COMPLAINT

- instant Complaint seeks to modify the existing methodology used in Schedule 2 of the ISO-NE OATT, and ISO-NE is requesting a Schedule 2 rate increase without a change in the methodology, resolution in that forum can not be achieved.
- Rule 206(b)(7), (8) -- The specific relief requested is as set forth in more detail in the body of this Complaint. Documents supporting the facts set forth herein include the attached Affidavits of Mr. Waine Whittier and Dr. Thomas Austin, and other supporting documents.

X. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The MPUC respectfully requests that the Commission find the current ISO-NE OATT Schedule 2 rates unjust and unreasonable insofar as they (1) fail to implement the repeated recommendation of the IMMU with regard to uplift for local voltage support and (2) include a double recovery of reactive power capacity costs. The MPUC further requests that the Commission order ISO-NE to replace the rate methodology for the PC component of Schedule 2 with the Reliability Region Cost Allocation methodology, and replace the rate methodology for the CC component of Schedule 2 with the CC Rate Deadband Proposal.

Additionally, pursuant to FPA Section 206(b), 16 U.S.C. § 824(e) (2000 and West Supp. 2006), the MPUC respectfully requests that the Commission set a refund effective date of the date of the filing of this Complaint.

Maine Public Utilities Commission, v. ISO New England, Inc. Docket No. EL07-38-000

CORRECTED PAGE 25 OF COMPLAINT RED-LINED

- instant Complaint seeks to modify the existing methodology used in Schedule 2 of the ISO-NE OATT, and ISO-NE is requesting a Schedule 2 rate increase without a change in the methodology, resolution in that forum can not be achieved.
- Rule 206(b)(7), (8) -- The specific relief requested is as set forth in more detail in the body of this Complaint. Documents supporting the facts set forth herein include the attached Affidavits of Mr. Waine Whittier and Dr. Thomas Austin, and other supporting documents.

X. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The MPUC respectfully requests that the Commission find the current ISO-NE OATT Schedule 2 rates unjust and unreasonable insofar as they (1) fail to implement the repeated recommendation of the IMMU with regard to uplift for local voltage support and (2) include a double recovery of reactive power capacity costs. The MPUC further requests that the Commission order ISO-NE to replace the rate methodology for the PC component of Schedule 2 with the Reliability Region Cost Allocation methodology, and replace the rate methodology for the CC component of Schedule 2 with the CC Rate Deadband Proposal.

Additionally, pursuant to FPA Section 206(b), 16 U.S.C. § 824(e) (2000 and West Supp. 2006), the MPUC respectfully requests that the Commission set a refund effective date of the date of the filing of this Complaint.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to the requirements of Rule 206(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.206(c), I hereby certify that I have, contemporaneously with the filing of the foregoing document, caused to be served a copy of the foregoing document upon the Respondent by electronic mail. I have a also caused to be served a copy of the foregoing document by electronic mail, upon the Representatives of the Public Utilities Commissions of the New England States.

Dated at Washington, DC this 28th day of February, 2007.

/s/ Harry A. Dupre
Harry A. Dupre
DUNCAN, WEINBERG, GENZER
& PEMBROKE, P.C.
1615 M Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 467-6370